RCRA LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS INSPECTION

I. General Informati	on				EPA	Region 5 Records Ctr.	
Facility:	<u>L.</u>	H., Incorpor	ated			2 44 331	
U.S. EPA ID No.:	OHD	980615728	·			_	
Street:	150	2 Beckett Av	enue			_	
City:	Cam	bridge	S	tate: OH Zi	p: <u>43725</u>	-	
Telephone:	Non	e			····	_	
Inspection Date:			e: 12:00	(am/pm)			
Weather Conditions:	Sun	ny, approxim	ately 50°F	-	······································	-	
Inspectors:	<u>Nam</u> Cin	_	Agency/Tit		<u>ephone</u> 5-8501	_	
•						_	
Facility Representativ	fac	ility contac	t; his rela	not an emplo tionship to L sent at the t	. H. Inc	. -	
See Appendix B to determine which of the following LDR waste categories the facility manages:							
	<u>Generate</u>	Transport	Treat	Store	<u>Dispos</u>	<u>:e</u>	
F001-F005 Solvents				. ———		-	
F020-F023 and F026-F028						-	
California List	, - -	·			-	_	
First Third			X	X			
[40 CFR 268.10]					-	_	
[40 CFR 268.10] Second Third [40 CFR 268.11]						-	

* See Appendix A

INSPECTION SUMMARY

Processes That Generate LI	X	. Wastes
----------------------------	---	----------

L. H., Incorporated (LHI) ceased operations in1980 and did not generate any waste. They were operating as an unpermitted treatment facility for spent pickle liquor from the steel industry, a K062 waste.

LDR Waste Management:

The K062 waste was placed into pond #1 and stabilized with lime. Ponds #2 and #3 were used as settling basins prior to discharge to the local POTW. No waste was received after October 1, 1980. An approved closure plan was partially implemented in 1984 by discharging the supernatant from ponds #2 and #3 to the POTW. No further closure activity has been implemented.

Summary:

See additional sheet 2a

Signature:

3

REMARKS - GENERAL INFORMATION

Include list of wastes being managed at the site and a brief description of site activity and waste handing procedures:

L. H., Incorporated (LHI) began operations in June of 1980 and ceased operation in September of 1980. During operation LHI was an unpermitted treatment facility for spent pickle liquors from the steel industry (K062). The K062 was reportedly placed into a series of three small surface impoundments where lime was added to neutralize the waste. Supernatant was discharged to the city of Cambridge sewer system. No further waste was added after October 1, 1980. A May 1984 consent decree ordered LHI to cease operations of its treatment ponds and submit a site A part A application was submitted in November 1981 but there is no record of receiving the application fee, therefore the application is considered invalid. Prior to site abandonment, LHI submitted a closure plan for the impoundments. This closure plan was approved by OEPA in September 1984 and partially implemented. Supernatant from ponds #2 and #3 were discharged with approval to the local POTW. Pond #1 was not emptied because the waste was too concentrated to go to the POTW. LHI declared bankruptcy in early 1985 and all closure activities ceased. As sole generator of the waste, LTV Steel assumed financial responsibility. LTV Steel contracted with Burgess and Niple Limited (B and N) for a characterization of the waste. B and N submitted a sampling and analysis plan in draft form in July 1986, during which time LTV Steel went bankrupt. No activities have since occurred, and the site is presently abandoned and unmaintained. The site is in an industrial/residential area of Cambridge.

Currently, the ponds are full. Ponds #2 and #3 have approximately 12 inches of freeboard. Pond #1 has approximately 1-2 inches of freeboard. The liner in pond #2 was sagging down into the pond in the southwest corner. Pond #3, which is adjacent to a collapsed building, contained part of the building's roof, which may have compromised the liner. Integrity and overflow of the impoundments are unknown but resulting discharges would affect surface waters and soils. According to a history of the site completed for a 1990 Groundwater Comprehensive Monitoring Evaluation, pond #1 was used for initial treatment with ponds #2 and #3 serving as settling basins prior to discharge to the POTW. The ponds have an approximate 25 foot diameter with a depth of 5-8 feet and contain sludge. The site itself is abandoned and unkept. Security consists of a broken down wire fence around the perimeter of the site and a broken wooden rail fence around the ponds. No warning signs were apparent. The warehouse adjacent to the ponds had collapsed sometime during the past year and appeared to contain unglazed pottery and packed-up cardboard boxes. A pile of whitish material near pond #1 is assumed to be lime left over from the initial operations.

LHI has not responded to past violations from in either compliance evaluation inspections or ${\tt CMEs}$

Possible Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure (TCLP) violation: a TCLP analysis has not been done on the sludge contained in ponds #1, #2, or #3.

RCRA LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS INSPECTION

II. WASTE IDENTIFICATION

A.

E.

1.	F001 through F005 spent solvents:			
2.	F020-F023 and F026-F028 dioxin-containing wastes:			
3.	California List Wastes (See Appendix A):			
4.	First Third Wastes [40 CFR 268.10]: K062			
5 .	Second Third Wastes [40 CFR 26.11]:			
6.	Third Third Wastes [40 CFR 268.12]**:			
ehara the t by 03 waste even	Accerdix 8. te: Effective 09/25/90, large quantity generators and TSDs are required to use the toxicity corrective 09/25/90, large quantity generators and TSDs are required to use the toxicity correction procedure (EP) for determining oxicity characteristic (TC). Small quantity generators must comply with this new requiremen /29/91. Wastes which exhibit TC, but do not exhibit EP, will be considered *newly identifies. They will be regulated under 40 CFR Part 268 only after they are evaluated by U.S. EPA, if they are characteristic for a constituent previously covered under the EP toxicity coveristic [55 FR 22531].			
enara the t by 03 waste even chara	te: Effective 09/25/90, large quantity generators and TSDs are required to use the toxicity cteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) instead of the extraction procedure (EP) for determining oxicity characteristic (TC). Small quantity generators must comply with this new requirement (29/91). Wastes which exhibit TC, but do not exhibit EP, will be considered "newly identified in the considered of the considered of the considered by U.S. EPA, if they are characteristic for a constituent previously covered under the EP toxicity.			
en No chara the	te: Effective 09/25/90, large quantity generators and TSDs are required to use the toxicity cteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) instead of the extraction procedure (EP) for determining oxicity characteristic (TC). Small quantity generators must comply with this new requiremen/29/91. Wastes which exhibit TC, but do not exhibit EP, will be considered "newly identifieds. They will be regulated under 40 CFR Part 268 only after they are evaluated by U.S. EPA, if they are characteristic for a constituent previously covered under the EP toxicity otheristic (SS FR 22531).			
en No chara the	te: Effective 09/25/90, large quantity generators and TSOs are required to use the toxicity citeristic leaching procedure (TCLP) instead of the extraction procedure (EP) for determining oxicity characteristic (TC). Small quantity generators must comply with this new requirement /29/91. Wastes which exhibit TC, but do not exhibit EP, will be considered "newly identified s. They will be regulated under 40 CFR Part 265 only after they are evaluated by U.S. EPA, if they are characteristic for a constituent previously covered under the EP toxicity coveristic (55 FR 22531). The Code Determination Have all wastes been correctly identified for purposes of compliance with			
en No chara the	te: Effective 09/25/90, large quantity generators and TSDs are required to use the toxicity observable (leaching procedure (ICLP) instead of the extraction procedure (EP) for determining oxicity characteristic (IC). Small quantity generators must comply with this new requirement /29/91. Wastes which exhibit IC, but do not exhibit EP, will be considered "newly identified s. They will be regulated under 40 CFR Part 268 only after they are evaluated by U.S. EPA, if they are characteristic for a constituent previously covered under the EP toxicity observable. (S5 FR 22531). The Code Determination Have all wastes been correctly identified for purposes of compliance with 40 CFR Part 268?"			
en No chara the	te: Effective 09/25/90, large quantity generators and TSOs are required to use the toxicity citeristic leaching procedure (TCLP) instead of the extraction procedure (EP) for determining oxicity characteristic (TC). Small quantity generators must comply with this new requirement/25/91. Wastes which exhibit TC, but do not exhibit EP, will be considered "newly identified s. They will be regulated under 40 CFR Part 265 only after they are evaluated by U.S. EPA, if they are characteristic for a constituent previously covered under the EP toxicity coveristic (55 FR 22531). The Code Determination Have all wastes been correctly identified for purposes of compliance with 40 CFR Part 268? Yes X No No			
en No chara the	te: Effective 09/25/90, large quantity generators and TSOs are required to use the toxicity citristic leaching procedure (TCLP) instead of the extraction procedure (EP) for determining oxicity characteristic (TC). Small quantity generators must comply with this new requirement /25/91. Wastes which exhibit TC, but do not exhibit EP, will be considered "newly identified s. They will be regulated under 40 CFR Part 265 only after they are evaluated by U.S. EPA, if they are characteristic for a constituent previously covered under the EP toxicity covered index the EP toxicity covered under the EP toxicity covered and the EP toxicity covered under the EP toxicity covered and the EP toxicity covered under the EP toxicity covered and the EP toxicity covered under the EP toxicity covered and the EP toxicity covered under the toxicity covered u			
ehara the t by 03 waste even chara	te: Effective 09/25/90, large cumntity generators and TSDs are required to use the toxicity citristic leaching procedure (TCLP) instead of the extraction procedure (EP) for determining oxicity characteristic (TC). Small quantity generators must comply with this new requirements/25/91. Wastes which exhibit TC, but do not exhibit EP, will be considered "newly identifies. They will be regulated under 40 CFR Part 268 only after they are evaluated by U.S. EPA, if they are characteristic for a constituent previously covered under the EP toxicity covered in the EP toxicity covered in the EP toxicity covered and the EP toxicity covered and the EP toxicity of the EP toxicity covered and the EP toxicity of the EP toxicity covered and the EP toxicity of t			
** No chara the to by 03 waste even chara	te: Effective 09/25/90, large quantity generators and TSDs are required to use the toxicity citeristic leaching procedure (TCLP) instead of the extraction procedure (EP) for determining oxicity characteristic (TC). Small quantity generators must comply with this new requirement /25/91. Wastes which exhibit TC, but do not exhibit EP, will be considered "newly identifies. They will be regulated under 40 CFR Part 268 only after they are evaluated by U.S. EPA, if they are characteristic for a constituent previously covered under the EP toxicity of the Code Determination. Have all wastes been correctly identified for purposes of compliance with 40 CFR Part 268? Yes_X No If no, list below:			

1

	2	Have both the listed and characteristic waste code been assigned, where a listed waste exhibits a characteristic? [40 CFR 268.9(a)]					
		Yස	No X	NA			
		Comments_	- Sludges fr	om pond have not had a TCLP analysis.			
	3.	Has multi-so	urce leachate l	been assigned the F039 waste code?" [40 CFR 261.31]			
		Yes	No	NA X			
		*Leachate der individual was	ived exclusively ste codes.	from F020-F023 and/or F026-F028 dioxin wastes retains the			
		If yes, was si 22623]	ngle-source lea	achate combined to form multi-source leachate? [55 FR			
,		Yes	No				
		Comments_					
C.	Does	the facility har	ndle the follow	ing wastes (national capacity variances)?			
.		•		oil and debris resulting from a CERCLA response action			
	1.			on (expires - 11/08/90). [40 CFR 268.30(c)]			
		Y¤	No X	List			
	2.			nd debris resulting from a CERCLA response action or a xpires - 11/08/90). [40 CFR 268.31(b)]			
		Y¤	No_x	List			
	3.			d soil and debris resulting from a CERCLA response we action (expires - 11/08/90). [40 CFR 268.32(d)(2)]			
		Yes	No X	List			
	4.	К048-К052 ј (b)]	oetroletiin wast	tes (nonwastewaters; expires - 11/08/90). [40 CFR 268.35			
		Yes	No X	List			
	5.	incineration K014, K023, K113, K114, P094, P097,	set in the Seco K027, K028, K K115, K116, P P109, P111, U	ed with wastes that had treatment standards based on and Third rule - F010, F024, K009, K010, K011, K013, K029, K038, K039, K040, K043, K093, K094, K095, K096, P039, P040, P041, P043, P044, P062, P071, P085, P089, P028, U058, U069, U087, U088, U102, U107, U190, U221, 8/91). [40 CFR 268.34(d)]			
		Yes	No X	List			

6.	Third Third	note 1 sion	ed with wastes that had treatment standards set in the incineration, mercury retorting, or vitrification. See 08/92). [40 CFR 268.35(e)]
	Y¤	No <u>x</u>	List
7.			nters - F039, K031, K084, K101, K102, K106, P010, P011, 087, P092, U136, U151. (expires -05/08/92). [40 CFR
	Yස	No X	List
8.	(nonwester	vaters), D008 (1	ified as hazardous based on a characteristic alone: D004 ead materials stored before secondary smelting), D009 - 05/08/92). [40 CFR 268.35(c)]
	Yes	No <u>x</u>	List
9.		ing ErA Hazar	efined in 40 CFR 268.2(g)*; includes chromium refactory dous Waste Nos. K048-K052 (expires - 05/08/92). [40
	Yes	No X	List
	*Hote: Inco	rrect reference	(40 CFR 268.2(a)(7)) in Third Third rule.
10.		ardous wastes tl 5/08/92). [40 C	hat contain naturally occurring radioactive materials FR 268.35(c)]
	Yes	No <u>x</u>	List
11.			58.10, 268.11, and 268.12 that are mixed tes (expires - 05/08/92)*. [40 CFR 268.35(d)]
	Yes	No_X	List
	*Mote: 40 C: Third rule.	FR 258.10 and 266	2.11 wastes incorrectly omitted from this variance in the Third

3

RCRA LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTION INSPECTION

IV. TSD REQUIREMENTS

A.

2. 3. 4.	F020-F023 California First, Secon Comments Has the way Yes What date Does analy restricted was	See commen ste analysis plants No was the waste	Third Wastes t #1 in Section an been revised to NA analysis plan last tain all the inform	Yes	No_X No_X multi-source less See co Section to treat, store, o	omment #1		
3.	California First, Secon Comments Has the way Yes What date Does analy restricted was	List Wastes nd, and Third See commen ste analysis pla No was the waste	Third Wastes t #1 in Section an been revised to NA analysis plan last tain all the inform	Yes Yes on H. o address F039 revised?/ nation required	No_X No_X multi-source less See co Section to treat, store, o	NA X NA achate? nomment #1 on H.		
3.	First, Second Comments Has the way Yes What date Does analy restricted was	nd, and Third See commen ste analysis pla No was the waste	an been revised to NA analysis plan last tain all the inform	Yes	Mo X multi-source lea See co Section to treat, store, o	NAachate?		
3.	Comments Has the way Yes What date Does analy restricted w	See commen ste analysis pla No was the waste	an been revised to NA analysis plan last tain all the inform	on H. o address F039 revised?/	See co	omment #1		
3.	Has the way Yes What date Does analy restricted way	No was the waste	an been revised t NA analysis plan last tain all the inform	o address F039 revised?/	See co	omment #1		
3.	Yes What date Does analy restricted w	No was the waste	NA analysis plan last	revised?/	See co	omment #1		
	What date Does analy restricted w	was the waste	analysis plan last	nation required	/ Section to treat, store, or	on H.		
	Does analy restricted v	tical data cont	tain all the inforn	nation required	/ Section to treat, store, or	on H.		
4.	restricted v					3 *		
	Yes			1110 202.13(4)(1)]	or aispose of		
	_	No	See comme	nt #1 in Sec	tion H.			
	If yes, which of the following are sources of analytical data? (More than one may apply.):							
	Generator provides data Facility performs analyses in on-site laboratory Facility contracts analyses at off-site laboratory							
	If the generator provides data, does the facility provide corroborative testing? [40 CFR 264.13(a)(2) and 265.13(a)(2)]							
. •	Yes	No	NA					
	If analyses are conducted off site, identify lab:							
	a. Are wastes with treatment standards specified in 40 CFR 268.41 analyzed using the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP)?* (BDAT** = stabilization/immobilization technology) [40 CFR 268.7(b)(1)]							
	Ye	s N	o NA	\				

			test, freque		ng, and n	ote any prob		nde the date of the test results.	
		b.		constituent				R 268.43 analy n/removal tech	
			Yes	No	-	NA			•
			*See Appendi	x C for exce	ptions.				
			the date of	last test, fre	quency (d note any p	was used and problems. Atta	
		c.						if California I R 268.32(i)]	ist
			Yes	No		NA			
				equency of t	esting, an	id note any p		ide the date o ttach test resu	
						<u> </u>		- 	
В.	Oper	ating Re	cord [40 CF	R 264.73 az	id 265.73]			
	1.	specif	the operating ied in 40 CFF 5(b)(3)]	record con 268.4 and/	tain reco or 40 CF	rds and resul R 268.7(b)?	ls of waste ([40 CFR 26	analyses perfo 54.73(b)(3) an	rmed as d
		Yట_	_ No	<u>></u> S	ee comm	ent #1 of	Section H	•	
	2.							and certification 1), (13), and (
		Yes_	_ No	s	ee comm	ent #1 of	Section H	•	
							•	off-site shipm	
	3.	which		wholly on s				or restricted v), and (16) and	
		Yes_	_ No		NA	See comm	ent #1 of	Section H.	

		management	of wastes previ	cussed in points 2. and 3. reflect proper historical ously covered under expired national capacity variances, the soft hammer provision?*
		Y¤	No	NA_X
		*Mote that the treatment stan mational capac	soft hammer pro- idands established ity variance to (vision expired as of 05/08/90. Soft hammer wastes which had d in the Third Third rule were granted a minimum 90-day D8/08/90.
C.	Store	ge [40 CFR 268	3.50]	
	1.	Are prohibite	ed* wastes store	ed on site in containers?
		Y¤	No <u>x</u>	(If No, go to 2)
		*See Appendix	E for distinction	n between restricted and prohibited wastes.
			iners clearly ma CFR 268.50(a)(rked to identify the contents and date(s) entering (2)(i)]
		Y¤	No	
			been stored for ent into effect?	more than one year since the applicable LDR
		Yes	No	(If No, go to 2.)
				ch accumulation is necessary to facilitate property is al? [40 CFR 268.50 (c)]
		Yes	No	
		If yes, state h	ow:	
	2.	Are prohibite	ಭ wastes stored	on site in tanks?
		Yes	No x	(If No, go to 3.)
		hazārdous wa	ste received, an ecorded and ma	with a description of the contents, the quantity of each d date each period of accumulation begins, or is such sintained in the operating record? [40 CFR
		Y¤	No	
		Have tanks be went into effe		least once per year since the applicable LDR regulations
		Yස	No	(If Yes, go to 3.)

		Can the facili recovery, trea	ty show that s stment, or disp	uch accumi posal? [40 C	lation is neccesary FR 268.50(c)]	o facilitate propo	tr		
		Yes	No						
		If yes, state h	ow:				 .		
	3.		lity store liqui or equal to 50		s waste containing I	CBs at concentra	ations		
		Yes	No X	(If No,	gó to D.)				
		Does the faci	lity meet the	ISCA crite	ia in 40 CFR 761.6	5(b)? [40 CFR 2d	58.50(f)]		
		Yes	No		•				
		Have these w	Have these wastes been stored for more than one year? [40 CFR 268.50(f)]						
		Yes	No						
D.	Treat	ment							
	1.	Does the faci	lity treat restr	icted waste	other than in surfa	ce impoundment	s?		
		Yes	No X	(If No,	do not complete th	is section. Go to	E)		
	2.	Are required technologies used to treat wastes which have treatment standards specified in 40 CFR 268.42? [40 CFR 268.40(b)]							
		Yes	No	NA	(If Y⇔ or I	NA, go to 3.)			
		Was an alternative method approved?							
		Yes	No						
		List each waste code, the technology specified in 40 CFR 268.42, and the alternative method. Check if approval of the alternative method is documented. [40 CFR 268.42(b)]							
		Waste Code	Required T	echnology	Alternative Meth	od Appro	<u>oval</u>		
									
	•	I ab marker II		realment sta	ndards are specified	are incinerator	residues		
	3.	from lab pack	cs containing l with the sub	D004, D005	, D006, D007, D008 ment standards for	3, D010, and D01	1 treated		
		Y'cs	No	NA					

De	scribe all ot	er waste codes and treatment processes:	
Wa	ste Code	Treatment Processes	
Ch	aracteristic	rastes:	
	he 40 CFR tracteristic l	Part 268 treatment standard lower than the 40 CFR Part 261 vel?*	
Ye	s <u>—</u>	No	
*Th and chai	is applies to 268.43, and racteristic (both concentration based treatment standards specified in 40 CFR 268.41 o some 40 CFR 268.42 required methods which result in treatment below the vel. See Appendix D.	:
tre	es, does the atment stan R 268.9(d)]	facility manage the waste as restricted until 40 CFR Part 268 and are met, even after the waste is relifiered non-hazardous? [40]	
Υœ	s	No	
Co	mments		_
Dil	ution Probi	ition [40 CFR 268.3]:	
2.	Does th	e facility mix prohibited wastes with different treatment standards?	
	Yes_	No (If No, go to c.)	
	List the	wastes	
b.	Are the	wastes amenable to the same type of treatment? [55 FR 22666]	
	Yes	No	
	If yes, i	this method used for the aggregated wastes?	
	Yes	. No	
	Comme	ots	_
C.		n an assessment of points a. and b., or any other relevant information used as a substitute for treatment? [40 CFR 268.3(a)]	1,
	Y¤	No	
	Comme	วปร	_

7.	Does the faci from all treat	lity, in accordan ment processes'	ce with an acceptal? [40 CFR 268.7(b)	ble waste an:]	alysis plan, test	rසiduස
	Y¤	No				
	Comments		·	· ··•	· ·	•
8.		lity ship any cha a Subtitle D fac	racteristic wastes w ility?	vhich have be	een rendered no	-מכ
	Yes	No	(If No, go to	9.)		
	Complete the	following table	•	••		
	Waste Code	Receiv	ing Facility		•	
			fication for each sh State? [40 CFR 26			1
	Yes	No				
9.	Does the facility?	lity ship any was	tes or treatment re	sidues to an	off-site land dis	posal
	Y¤	No	(If No, go to 10.)			
	Complete the	following table	:			
	Waste Code	Receiv	ing Facility			
						
	<u> </u>					
	Are a notifica waste shipmen	tion and a certil at? [40 CFR 26	ication provided to S.7(b)(4) and 40 Cl	the land dis FR 268.7(b)	posal facility wi (5)]	ith each
	Y¤	No				
10.	Does the facil	ity ship any was ment or storage	tes or treatment res facility?	sidues to be	further manage	d at a
	Yes	No	(If No, go to E.)			

		Complete the following table:
		Waste Code Receiving Facility
		Are appropriate generator notifications and certifications provided to the receiving facility with each waste shipment? [40 CFR 268.7(b)(6)]
		Y = No
E	Surfac	re Impoundments [40 CFR 268.4]
	1.	Are restricted wastes placed in surface impoundments for treatment?
		Yes X No (If No, go to F.)
		List K062
	2	Are evaporation or dilution the only recognizable treatment occurring in the surface impoundment? [40 CFR 268.3(a) and 268.4(b)]
		Yes No X
		Comments
	3.	Has the facility submitted to the Agency a waste analysis plan and certification of compliance with minimum technology requirements and ground-water monitoring requirements? [40 CFR 268.4(a)(4)]
		Yes No <u>X</u>
	4.	If the minimum technology requirements have not been met, has a waiver been granted for that unit? [40 CFR 268.4(a)(3)(ii)]
		Yes No X NA
	5.	Are representative samples of sludge and supernatant from the surface impoundment tested separately, acceptably, and in accordance with the sampling frequency and analyses specified in the waste analysis plan? (Attach test results.) [40 CFR 268.4(a)(2)(i)]
		Yes No See comment #1 in Section H.
	6.	Does the operating record adequately document the results of waste analyses performed in accordance with 40 CFR 268.4? [40 CFR 264.73(b)(3) and 265.73(b)(3)]
		Yes No
		Comments See comment #1 in Section H.

7.	Do the treatm standards/pro	nent ræiduæ (sl hibition levels?	udges or liquids) exceed applicable treatment
	Sludge Supermalant	Y¤_ Y¤_	No No	Waste Code
	Provide the fr	requency of analant #1 of Se	yses conducted ction H.	on treatment residues:
8.		lues exceed trea is? [40 CFR 26		s/prohibition levels, are they removed on
	Y¤	No	NA	
	Comments	e commen	t #1 of Secti	lon H.
	Are residues s 268.4(a)(2)(iii		naged in anothe	er surface impoundment? [40 CFR
	Yes	No		
9.				nent standards, is annual throughput 2. 268.4(a)(2)(ii)]
	Yes	No	NA	•
	Comments	See comment	#1 of Section	on H.
Land I	Disposal		•	•
1.	impoundment	s*, waste piles, l		in units such as landfills, surface inits, salt domes/beds, mines/caves,
	Y¤	No_X	(If No, go to G	5 .)
	*Note: Do not	include surface i	irpoundments addre	rssed in E.
	If yes, specify	which units and	what wastes eac	h unit has received:
	Unit		Waste	
2.	wastes prior to	land disposal to		table waste analysis plan, test prohibited applicable treatment standards and/or 58.7(c)(2)]
	Yes	No		·
	Comments			

Revised 09/90

F.

3.			s :3 insure that they do not exhibit any characteristics at CFR 268.9(c)]	
	Ycs	No	NA	
		ste may exceed a characteristic b	characteristic level only if the treatment standard for has been met.	
4.		n accordance w	adequately document the results of waste analyses ith 40 CFR 268.7(c)? [40 CFR 264.73(b)(3) and	
	Yes	No		
	If yes, at wh	at frequency ar	e analyses performed?	_
			··	-
5.	Does the fa	cility land dispo	ender of restricted wastes which are not prohibited?	
	Yes	No	(II No, go to 6.)	
	List waste o	odes in appropr	riate category below:	
,	Case-By-Ca No-Migratio	se Extension (4 on Petition (40	c (40 CFR Part 268, Subpart C)	-
	copy of the	generator notif	contain records of the quantities, date of placement, and sication [40 CFR 268.7(a)(3)] for each shipment of a case-by case extension or no-migration petition? [40 5.73(b)(10)]	a
	Y¤	No	NA	
			iving wastes covered by a national capacity variance or the requirements in 40 CFR 268.5(h)(2)?	
	Y¤	No	NA	
	If the facility reports to the	y has a case-by- ne Regional Ad	case extension, is progress being made as described in ministrator?	
	Y¤	No	NA	
6.	Are restricts	ed wastes place	d in underground injection wells?	
	Y¤	No	List	

G.	Oth	er Wastestre	ams	· · . •	•	• •	•	
	1.	Does the treatmen		erate was	es other than res	idues from RC	CRA	
	•	Y==	No_	<u>X</u>	(If No, go to H)	• •		
	2	On-Site ?	Managemer	nt				•
		v re P	/ater Act, h striction, h	ave the fo ow restrict	are treated in sys llowing been doc ed wastes are ma permit are not p	umented: the naged, and wh	determination of wastes discharge	of rged
		Y	a	No	NA			
		מ	op-bazardo	us, are the	are treated in RC wastes managed met?* [40 CFR	as restricted u		
		Y	ಟ	No	NA			
		25	8.41 and 268	.43, and to	centration based to some 40 CFR 268.4 cteristic level.	2 required meth	nds specified in ods which result	40 CFR in
	3.	Off-Site N	lanagemen	t: Waste]	Exceeds Treatme	nt Standards		
					nt star = rds/prol ped to an off-site			
		Y¤	No_	_	(If No, go to 4.)			
		Identify w shipped.	astes code(s) and off-	site treatment or	storage facilit	ics to which was	tes are
		Waste Co	<u>đe</u>	Receivin	g Facility			
			notification 0 CFR 268.		for each shipme	nt to the treat	ment or storage	
		V~	No		Tf No go to 4)		•	

						l for lab packs, is the certification with the notification?
	Y¤_	_	No	NA_		
4.	Off-Sit	e Manag	gement: Wa	ssies Meet	s Treatme	ent Standards
	a .		stes that me disposal fac		ent standa	rds/prohibition levels shipped to an
		Y¤	No		(If No,	go to 5.)
		Identify	waste code	e(s) and of	I-site disp	osal facilities:
		<u>w</u>	aste Code	Recei	ving Facil	lity ·
						· · ·
						ns provided for each shipment to the (i) and 268.7(a)(2)(ii)]?
		Yes	. No	 -	(If No,	go to b.)
	b .					een rendered non-hazardous (in a itle D facility?
		Yes	. No		NA	(If No or NA, go to 5.)
		Comple	te the follo	wing table:	:	
		$\underline{\mathbf{w}}$	aste Code	Recei	vine Facil	<u>ity</u>
	-	·				
						r each shipment sent to the Regional CFR 268.9(d)(1) amd 268.7(b)(5)?
		Y¤	No			

5.	Off-Si	te Management: Wastes Subject to Variances, Extensions, or Petitions
	a .	Are wastes that are subject to a national capacity variance (40 CFR Part 268, Subpart C) or a case-by-case extension (40 CFR 268.5) shipped to a treatment, storage, or disposal facility?
		Yes No (If No, go to 6.)
		Complete the following table:
		Waste Code Receiving Facility
	ъ.	Are LDR notifications (stating that the waste is not prohibited from land disposal) provided for each shipment to the off-site receiving facility? [40 CFR 268.7(a)(3)]
	,	Yes No
6.	Dilutio	on Prohibition [40 CFR 268.3]:
	a .	Are prohibited wastes with different treatment standards mixed?
		*See Appendix E for distinction between restricted and prohibited wastes.
		Yes No (If No, go to b.)
		List the wastes
		Are the wastes amenable to the same type of treatment? [55 FR 22666]
		Yes No
		Comments
	b.	Are prohibited wastes diluted to meet treatment standard criteria, or render them non-hazardous? [55 FR 22665-22666]
		Yes No (If No, go to c.)
		Check appropriate category:
		Dilutes to meet treatment standards Dilutes to render waste non-hazardous

	Managed in transment metame remileted under the Class Water
	Managed in treatment systems regulated under the Clean Water Non-toxic* characteristic wastes Treatment standard specified in 40 CFR 268.41 or 268.43
	 ,
	*Mon-toxic = D001 (except high TOC nonwestawaters), D002, and D003 (except and sulfides). [55 FR 22666]
	If the wastes do not fall into the above categories, briefly describe the conditions under which they were diluted.
c.	Based on an assessment of points a and b., and any other relevant circumstances, are prohibited wastes diluted as a substitute for adequate treatment? [40 CFR 268.3(a)]
	Yes No
	Comments
	Comments, Concerns, or Issues Not Addressed in the Checklist: Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the
#1) L. H.,	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the
#1) L. H.,	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.
#1) L. H., inspection	Incorporated is an abandoned site. At the time of the no records were available for review, no operator or present.